REFINEMENTS OF LAGRANGE'S FOUR-SQUARE THEOREM

LEO GOLDMAKHER AND PAUL POLLACK

Abstract. A well-known theorem of Lagrange asserts that every nonnegative integer n can be written in the form $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We characterize the values assumed by $a + b + c + d$ as we range over all such representations of n.

Our point of departure is the following signature result from a first course in number theory.

Lagrange's four-square theorem. *Every nonnegative integer can be written as the sum of four integer squares. That is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with*

$$n = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2. \tag{1}$$

For instance ($n = 2017$), we have

$$2017 = 18^2 + 21^2 + 24^2 + 26^2.$$

Twenty years before Lagrange's proof, Euler had already conjectured a refinement of the four-square theorem for odd numbers n. The following statement can be found in a letter to Goldbach dated June 9, 1750.

Conjecture 1. *Every odd positive integer n has a representation in the form (1) satisfying the extra constraint $a + b + c + d = 1$.*

Picking back up our earlier example, when $n = 2017$, Euler's conjecture is satisfied with $a = -18$, $b = 21$, $c = 24$, and $d = -26$. A proof of Euler's conjecture was posted to MathOverflow by Franz Lemmermeyer in September 2010.[1]

Much more recently, Sun & Sun (apparently unaware of Euler's conjecture) presented a number of related refinements of Lagrange's theorem [3] (cf. [4]). One of their many results is that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a representation (1) with $a + b + c + d$ a square, as well as one with $a + b + c + d$ a cube [3, Theorem 1.1(a)].

We can unify all the above assertions by introducing the *sum spectrum*

$$\mathscr{S}(n) = \{a + b + c + d : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = n\}.$$

Lagrange's theorem is equivalent to $\mathscr{S}(n) \neq \emptyset$; Euler's conjecture asserts that $1 \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ for all odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$; and Sun & Sun's theorem asserts that $\mathscr{S}(n)$ contains a perfect square and a perfect cube for every n. Our goal in this note is to completely describe the set $\mathscr{S}(n)$.

We have not found our results stated anywhere in the literature, but we do not claim they are novel. In the introduction to his resolution [1] of Fermat's polygonal number conjecture, Cauchy poses the following problem: *Décomposer un nombre entier donné en*

LG is partially supported by an NSA Young Investigator grant. PP is partially supported by NSF award DMS-1402268.

[1]See https://mathoverflow.net/questions/37278/euler-and-the-four-squares-theorem.

n	exceptional T
1	$\emptyset$
2	$\emptyset$
3	$\emptyset$
4	$\emptyset$
5	$\emptyset$
6	$\emptyset$
7	$\emptyset$
8	$\{\pm 2\}$
9	$\emptyset$
10	$\emptyset$
11	$\emptyset$
12	$\emptyset$
13	$\emptyset$
14	$\emptyset$
15	$\emptyset$
16	$\{\pm 2, \pm 6\}$

n	exceptional T
17	$\emptyset$
18	$\emptyset$
19	$\emptyset$
20	$\emptyset$
21	$\emptyset$
22	$\emptyset$
23	$\emptyset$
24	$\{\pm 2, \pm 6\}$
25	$\emptyset$
26	$\emptyset$
27	$\emptyset$
28	$\{0\}$
29	$\emptyset$
30	$\emptyset$
31	$\emptyset$
32	$\{\pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 10\}$

n	exceptional T
33	$\emptyset$
34	$\emptyset$
35	$\emptyset$
36	$\emptyset$
37	$\emptyset$
38	$\emptyset$
39	$\emptyset$
40	$\{\pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 10\}$
41	$\emptyset$
42	$\emptyset$
43	$\emptyset$
44	$\{\pm 8\}$
45	$\emptyset$
46	$\emptyset$
47	$\emptyset$
48	$\{\pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 10\}$

TABLE 1. Values of T satisfying (2) and (3) but not belonging to $\mathscr{S}(n)$.

quatre quarrés dont les racines fassent une somme donnée.[2] For Cauchy, "racines" are nonnegative; hence, he is asking for a description of

$$\mathscr{S}^{+}(n) := \{a+b+c+d : a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2 = n\}.$$

Cauchy goes on to prove a partial characterization of $\mathscr{S}^{+}(n)$ (see Remark 1 below for a summary of his results), by essentially the same methods we describe below. Despite being anticipated, we believe an explicit description of $\mathscr{S}(n)$ is sufficiently interesting (and Cauchy's work on $\mathscr{S}^{+}(n)$ sufficiently underappreciated) to warrant popularization here. Moreover, we will show how our characterization of $\mathscr{S}(n)$ immediately implies both Sun & Sun's theorems and a generalization of Euler's conjecture.

We begin by recording two easy observations. First, since an integer and its square have the same parity, every $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ satisfies

$$T \equiv n \pmod 2. \tag{2}$$

Second, for any real numbers a,b,c,d, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}(a+b+c+d)^2 &\le (a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2)(1^2+1^2+1^2+1^2)\\ &= 4(a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2);\end{aligned}$$

it follows that every $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ satisfies

$$T^2 \le 4n. \tag{3}$$

As shown in Table 1, the necessary conditions (2) and (3) are quite often (but not always) sufficient for membership in $\mathscr{S}(n)$. The following theorem, which is our main result, tells the full story.

[2] *Decompose a given whole number into four squares whose roots make a given sum.*

Theorem 2. *Suppose n and T are integers satisfying (2). Then $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ if and only if $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three integer squares.*

Note that (3) is implied by the condition on $4n - T^2$ and so does not need to be included explicitly as a hypothesis in Theorem 2.

To convince the reader that Theorem 2 qualifies as a complete description of $\mathscr{S}(n)$, we recall the following classical result (see the Appendix to Chapter IV of [2] for a proof).

Legendre–Gauss three-squares theorem. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then n can be written as a sum of three squares if and only if $n \neq 4^k(8\ell + 7)$ for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. We begin by recording the easily-verified identity

$$\begin{aligned}(2(a+b)-T)^2 + (2(a+c)-T)^2 + (2(b+c)-T)^2 + T^2 \\ = 4a^2 + 4b^2 + 4c^2 + 4(T-a-b-c)^2. \quad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Thus if $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$, say with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = n$ and $a + b + c + d = T$, then

$$(2(a+b)-T)^2 + (2(a+c)-T)^2 + (2(b+c)-T)^2 = 4n - T^2,$$

so that $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three squares.

Conversely, suppose that $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three squares, say

$$4n - T^2 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2. \quad (5)$$

In view of (4), it is enough to show that—after possibly swapping the signs of A, B, C—there are $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$2a + 2b - T = A, \quad 2a + 2c - T = B, \quad 2b + 2c - T = C. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, in that case setting $d = T - (a + b + c)$, we have

$$a + b + c + d = T,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}4(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2) - T^2 \\ &= (2a + 2b - T)^2 + (2a + 2c - T)^2 + (2b + 2c - T)^2 \\ &= A^2 + B^2 + C^2 = 4n - T^2,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = n.$$

Thus, we focus our attention on (6).

Solving for a, b, c in terms of A, B, C gives

$$a = \frac{1}{4}(A + B - C + T), \;\; b = \frac{1}{4}(A - B + C + T), \;\; c = \frac{1}{4}(-A + B + C + T).$$

We claim that A, B, C, and T must all have the same parity. To see this, note that (5) gives $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \equiv -T^2 \pmod 4$. If T is odd then $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and a moment's thought shows that all of A, B, and C must be odd. Similarly, if T is even, then $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, and this forces A, B, and C to all be even. In either case, the difference between any pair of A, B, and C is even, so the difference between any pair of a, b, and c is an integer. It follows that if any of $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then all three are in $\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,

$$A + B - C + T \equiv A + B + C + T \equiv A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + T^2 \equiv 4n \equiv 0 \pmod 2,$$

and so the only way we can fail to have $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and hence all of $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$) is if

$$A + B - C + T \equiv 2 \pmod 4. \tag{7}$$

If T is odd, then A is odd, and so if necessary we can replace A with $-A$ to avoid (7). If T is even, we will show that (7) cannot occur. Indeed, (7) implies that $8 \nmid (A + B - C + T)^2$. But

$$\begin{aligned}(A + B - C + T)^2 & \\ &= A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + T^2 + 2(AB - AC + AT - BC + BT - CT) \\ &= 4n + 2(AB - AC + AT - BC + BT - CT) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod 8;\end{aligned}$$

here we used that $n \equiv T \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and that all of A, B, C, and T are even. □

Let us see how Theorem 2 makes quick work of both the conjecture of Euler and the theorems of Sun & Sun. We begin with the latter. If $4n$ itself is a sum of three squares, then Theorem 2 shows that $T = 0 \in \mathscr{S}(n)$, and 0 is both a square and a cube. Otherwise, by the Legendre–Gauss theorem, $4n = 4^{k+1}(8\ell + 7)$, where k and ℓ are nonnegative integers. Then

$$4n - (2^k)^2 = 4^k(32\ell + 27), \quad 4n - (2^{k+1})^2 = 4^{k+1}(8\ell + 6),$$
$$\text{and} \quad 4n - (2^{k+2})^2 = 4^{k+1}(8\ell + 3);$$

invoking the Legendre–Gauss theorem once more, we see that all three of these numbers are sums of three squares. By Theorem 2, all of $2^k, 2^{k+1}, 2^{k+2}$ must belong to $\mathscr{S}(n)$. Clearly, the set $\{2^k, 2^{k+1}, 2^{k+2}\}$ contains both a square and a cube.

As for Euler's conjecture, we prove the following generalization (which, for most n, gives a very simple description of $\mathscr{S}(n)$):

Proposition 3. *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is not a multiple of 4. Then*

$$\mathscr{S}(n) = \{T \equiv n \pmod 2 : |T| \le 2\sqrt{n}\}.$$

Remark 1. Cauchy proves that if $T \in \mathscr{S}^+(n)$, then $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three squares, and that when $4 \nmid n$,

$$\mathscr{S}^+(n) \supseteq \{T \equiv n \pmod 2 : \sqrt{3n - 2} - 1 \le T \le 2\sqrt{n}\}.$$

See [1, Corollary I of Theorem I, Theorem IV, and Corollary II of Theorem III].

Proof of Proposition 3. In view of Theorem 2, our task is to show that $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three squares whenever $4 \nmid n$. Suppose first that n is odd, so that T is also odd. Then $4n \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ and $T^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, whence $4n - T^2 \equiv 3 \pmod 8$. By the Legendre–Gauss theorem, $4n - T^2$ is a sum of three squares, and we're done. Now suppose instead that n is twice an odd integer. Then T is even, say $T = 2t$, so that $4n - T^2 = 4(n - t^2)$. It will suffice to show that $n - t^2$ is a sum of three squares, for then $4(n - t^2)$ is as well. Since $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ and $t^2 \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod 4$, we have $n - t^2 \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod 4$. In particular, $n - t^2$ is not of the form $4^k(8\ell + 7)$, and so the desired conclusion follows from the Legendre–Gauss theorem. This completes the proof. $\square$

We conclude this note with a few remarks about the structure of $\mathscr{S}(n)$ for general n. When $8 \mid n$, it is easy to see that any integer solution to

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = n$$

has all of a, b, c, d even. Thus, there is a bijection $(a, b, c, d) \leftrightarrow (a/2, b/2, c/2, d/2)$ between representations of n as a sum of four squares and representations of $n/4$. Consequently,

$$\mathscr{S}(n) = 2\mathscr{S}(n/4),$$

where the notation on the right-hand side means dilation by a factor of 2. Iterating, if k is the largest nonnegative integer for which $2^{2k+3} \mid n$, we find that

$$\mathscr{S}(n) = 2^{k+1}\mathscr{S}(n/4^{k+1}).$$

We have from our choice of k that $2 \mid n/4^{k+1}$ while $8 \nmid n/4^{k+1}$.

The observations of the last paragraph show that to describe $\mathscr{S}(n)$, it is enough to consider those cases where $8 \nmid n$. When $4 \nmid n$, Proposition 3 tells us the answer. However, when $4 \mid n$, it does not seem that there is much to be said beyond what follows immediately from Theorem 2 and the Legendre–Gauss theorem.

The situation becomes both clearer and a bit cleaner if one is willing to shift perspective. Rather than first picking n and asking for a description of the elements of $\mathscr{S}(n)$, we may pick T and ask for which n we have $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$.

Proposition 4. *Let $T \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $n \geq T^2/4$ and $n \equiv T \pmod 2$.*

(1) *If T is odd, then $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$.*
(2) *If T is twice an odd integer, then $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ if and only if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod 8$.*
(3) *Suppose that $4 \mid T$. Then $T \in \mathscr{S}(n)$ if and only if*

$$n \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \{T^2 - 4^k \pmod{2^{2k+3}}\}.$$

Here the right-hand side is an infinite union of disjoint residue classes modulo 2^{2k+3}, over positive integers k.

We leave the (routine) proof of this proposition to the interested reader.

References

[1] A. L. Cauchy, Démonstration du théorème général de Fermat sur les nombres polygones, *Mém. Sci. Math. Phys. Inst. France* **14** (1813-15), 177–220.

[2] J.-P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[3] Y.-C. Sun and Z.-W. Sun, Some refinements of Lagrange's four-square theorem (2017), http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.03074.

[4] Z.-W. Sun, Refining Lagrange's four-square theorem, *J. Number Theory* **175** (2017) 167–190.

Dept of Mathematics & Statistics, Williams College, Williamstown, MA, USA
E-mail address: leo.goldmakher@williams.edu

Dept of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA
E-mail address: pollack@uga.edu